

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,563	06/30/2003	Christoph Schmidt	MERCK-2715 7256		
23599 MILLEN WH	7590 03/26/2007 ITE, ZELANO & BRANIC	EXAMINER			
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
				·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,563	SCHMIDT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan W. Schlientz	1616		

	Nathan W. Schlientz	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) X The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 March 2007. A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the cont	nsideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)	corresponding number of finally rej	. , ,	.ne 1330e3 101
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	·	·	•
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•
		Since S	-Gamine
		trimany All 11	-Gamine

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 10/608,563

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search because the limitation of transparent and colorless was not in the previously examined claims. Also, the new claims, 12-16, contain limitations, such as nail varnish, lipstick, gel, lotion, organic dye, holographic pigment, etc., that were not considered in the previously examined claims.